THE GREAT ADVENTURE IN CALIFORNIA

State Headquarters, Los Anceles, 203 Tajo Bidg., First and Broadway. Main 4905 San Francisco, 948 Market, Room 611, Douglas 3690. Berkeley, 2617 Virginia.

is not necessary, therefore, to make these islands private property. Though for reasons greatly less cogent, the great public domain of the American people has been made over to private ownership as fast as anybody could be got to take it, these islands have been leased at a rent of \$317,500 per year, probably not very much less than they could have been sold for at the time of the Alaska purchase. They have already yielded two millions and a half to the national treasury, and they are still, in unimpaired value (for under the careful management of the Alaska Fur Company the seals increase rather than diminish), the common property of the people of the United States.

So far from the recognition of private property in land being necessary to the proper use of land, the contrary is the case. Treating land as private property stands in the way of its proper use. Were land treated as public property it would be used and improved as soon as there was need for its use or improvement, but being treated as private property, the individual owner is permitted to prevent others from using or improving what he cannot or will not use or improve himself. When the title is in dispute, the most valuable land lies unimproved for vears; in many parts of England improvement is stopped because, the estates being entailed, no security to improvers can be given; and large tracts of ground which, were they treated as public property, would be covered with buildings and crops, are kept idle to gratify the caprice of the owner. In the thickly settled parts of the United States there is enough land to maintain three or four times our present population. lying unused, because its owners are holding it for higher prices, and immigrants are forced past this unused land to seek homes where their labor will be far less productive. In every city valuable lots may be seen lying vacant for the same reason. If the best use of land be the test, then private property in land is condemned, as it is condemned by every other consideration. It is as wasteful and uncertain a mode of securing the proper use of land as the burning down of houses is of roasting pigs.

When you have read this pass it along to someone who hasn't. It is one of a series of Henry George reprints, which are kept in stock and supplied for distribution to the workers for the Single Tax constitutional amendment in California. If you are interested, send for as many as you can use—enclosing whatever you can afford. Work is a good substitute for cash. You are welcome to all you can distribute on your own terms. No. 7 of The Great Adventure contains Single Tax, What It Is and Why We Urge It, by Henry George. A million copies of that and of this number should be circulating in California. Will You help?

Great Adventure

Published weekly by Golden Press, 203 Tajo Bldg., First and Broadway, Los Angeles, Cal. Editors: Luke North, Lona Ingham Robinson, Harvey E. Westgate. Year 25 cents. Entered in the Post Office, Los Angeles, Cal., as second-class matter. Phone Main 4905.

Vol. 2.

DECEMBER 21, 1918

No. 35

Private Property in Land Inconsistant With the Best Use of Land

By HENRY GEORGE

Chapter I, Book VIII, of "Progress and Poverty"

There is a delusion resulting from the tendency to confound the accidental with the essential—a delusion which the law writers have



done their best to extend, and political economists generally have acquiesced in, rather than endeavored to expose—that private property in land is necessary to the proper use of land, and that again to make land common property would be to destroy civilization and revert to barbarism.

This delusion may be likened to the idea which, according to Charles Lamb, so long prevailed among the Chinese after the savor of roast pork had been accidentally discovered by the burning down of Ho-ti's

hut—that to cook a pig it was necessary to set fire to a house. But, though in Lamb's charming dissertation it was required that a sage should arise to teach people that they might roast pigs without burning down houses, it does not take a sage to see that what is required for the improvement of land is not absolute ownership of the land, but security for the improvements. This will be obvious to whoever will look around him. While there is no more necessity for making a man the absolute and exclusive owner of land, in order to induce him to improve it, than there is of burning down a house in order to cook a pig; while the making of land private property is as rude, wasteful, and uncertain a device for securing improvement, as the burning down of a house is a rude, wasteful, and uncertain device for roasting a pig, we have not the excuse for persisting in the one that Lamb's Chinamen had for persisting in the other. Until the sage arose who invented the rude



safely abolish private property in land. erected on leased ground. If we give improvers such security, we may the most costly buildings of such cities as London and New York are gardens; on the mere security of a fixed ground rent for a term of years their cultivation induced Irish peasants to turn a barren mountain into

into private pockets. What is to prevent the land of a whole country rent of the one goes into the common school fund, the rent of the others The only difference between this lot and those around it, is that the property of private individuals, that stand there in perfect security. nor yet is it an unused waste. It is covered with fine buildings, the yet legally recognized. This lot is not cut up into infinitesimal pieces San Francisco to which the common rights of the people of that city are propriating rent to the common benefit. There is a lot in the center of as now, and yet the common right to land be fully recognized by apbeen formed to hold and manage real estate. Everything could go on there were but a single owner. In London, joint stock companies have shares, and yet trains be run with as much system and precision as it The ownership of a railway may be divided into a hundred thousand ments or produce. Two men may own a ship without sawing her in half. interfere with the complete recognition of individual right to improve-The complete recognition of common rights to land need in no way

seasons, as similar fisheries in other oceans have been destroyed. But it without reference to the future—would be utterly to destroy it in a tew it to the interest of each party to kill as many as they could at the time fishery as this open to whoever chose to go and kill—which would make the herds, where they are dispatched with clubs. To throw such a carefully separated and gently driven inland, out of sight and hearing of Then those who can be killed without diminution of tuture increase are water, show no more sign of fear than laxily to waddle out of the way. beaches, until the timid animals, so clumsy on land but so graceful in no hurry, but quietly walk around among the seals who line the rocky pistol or the barking of a dog. The men who do the killing must be in the females and young cubs, but even such noises as the discharge of a the islands are of no use to man, it is not only necessary to avoid killing return. To prevent the utter destruction of this fishery, without which slightest fright causes it to abandon its accustomed resort, never to breeding places of the fur seal, an animal so timid and wary that the acquired by the Alaska purchase from Russia. These islands are the on the little islet of St. Peter and St. Paul in the Aleutian Archipelago, the reduction of land to private ownership exist in higher degree than United States in which the conditions commonly taken to necessitate It would be difficult to select any portion of the territory of the peing held by the people of the country in this way?

> Thus it is a common matter for use to be separated from ownership. States the same system prevails everywhere to a greater or less extent. ings of London are built upon leased ground, and even in the United of Great Britain is cultivated by tenants, the greater part of the buildimproved by those who do not own it. The greater part of the land burned. But, among us, nothing is more common than for land to be had known or heard of pig being roasted, except by a house being gridiron, which according to Lamb, preceded the spit and oven, no one

> the resumption of land as common property in nowise interfere with now? There can be but one answer: Of course it would. Then would State, would not land be used and improved as well and as securely as all land were held in this way, the occupier or user paying rent to the individuals? If no private ownership in land were acknowledged, but rent went to the state or municipality, as now, when it goes to private Would not all this land be cultivated and improved just as well if the

the proper use and improvement of land.

of possessing houses that men build. The ownership of land has nothlabor. It is for the sake of the reaping that men sow; it is for the sake wants to build, and he will build it. These are the natural rewards of reap, and he will sow; assure him of the possession of the house he produces on this land shall be yours." Give a man security that he may It is only necessary to say to him, "whatever your labor or capital "this land is yours," in order to induce him to cultivate or improve it. but the security of improvements. It is not necessary to say to a man, What is necessary for the use of land is not its private ownership,

of them in fiel or trust, and kneeling bareheaded before the lord, with ownership of their lands to a military chieftain, receiving back the use the feudal period so many of the smaller land holders surrendered the It was for the sake of obtaining this security, that in the beginning of ing to do with it.

It is not the magic of property, as Arthur Young said, that has standing that he may remain as tenant upon it at a fixed rent. owner to sell his land to a mosque for a nominal price, with the undervakouf, or church lands, and where it is a common thing for a land where a peculiar exemption from taxation and extortion attaches to land for the sake of security in its enjoyment are to be seen in Turkey, and worldly honor. Similar instances of the giving up of ownership in their hands between his hands, swore to serve him with life, and limb,

landlord that for twenty years he would not claim in rent any share in other ways than by burning down houses. The mere pledge of an Irish property, just as the heat necessary to roast a pig can be secured in to labor. This can be secured in other ways than making land private turned Flemish sands into fruitful fields. It is the magic of security