began to veer towards the rebels. When his lines were everywhere giving away, the stork was transported in state to Holland by his faithful retainers.

REEL TWO

When "The shouting and the tumult cease, and kings and captains pass away," so said Kipling, the frogs re-established a democracy with universal franchise. They were warned that eternal vigilance is the price of liberty and for several years they cudgelled their brains in the administration of their government, but they found it more and more difficult to maintain this high plane of patriotism, and slowly at first and then with accelerated speed they petitioned political parties and partisan newspapers to do their thinking for them and soon they were accepting canned opinions like the lazy housekeeper who lives on tinned foods, and a poison squad would have been necessary to ascertain how much they had been poisoned and populated by it. Public opinion disappeared and farmer's opinion, merchant and manufacturer's opinion, banker's opinion, labor union opinion, and other class opinion took its place, but it was not public opinion and got them nowhere and in the welter of much discussion the truth was lost sight of. The curse of kings was again fully established in the frog republic and afterwards history repeated itself in a certain king of England who granted the monopoly of the Thames Harbor to one of his courtiers who attracted the favorable notice of the king by throwing his cloak over a muddy puddle in the king's path. In the frog republic, the courtier was called the "good mixer" and the frog voters peddled their votes to him in exchange for his most subtle touch to their personal vanity. These courtiers possessed an uncanny insight into the simple brain processes of the frogs, knew their thought and industriously framed these thoughts into glittering generalities, so that the frog voters were wont to go home, saying: "He expressed just my sentiments, don't you know," for the motto of the courtiers was: "Send them home with the idea that THEY are the wise guys and they will sell themselves to a yellow dog."  

NICHOLAS A. VYNE.

Man's Divorce from Nature

WHY are we still spending billions of dollars and training millions of men for the work of destruction, when all the world is war-worn and weary with the atrocities of the past? Is it not at the behest and demand of those who believe that might makes right? Those who believe in upholding by the sword the injustice mostly responsible for all the wars of our so-called civilization.

Virtually, millions of God's children, divorced from the soil today are ill, diseased, and dying prematurely for want of contact with the earth, which is denied them by this "crooked and perverse generation," crooked and perverse just as they were in the time of Christ, because now as then, "The son of man hath not where to lay his head."

The earth is our common mother, but two-thirds of God's children divorced from the soil, are orphans, separated from her who gave them birth and denied a normal amount of fresh air, sunshine, and most of the things that make life worth while. "I came that ye might have life, and have it more abundantly," was Christ's oft reiterated message to humanity, yet now as then the greater part of the children of men are sodden in poverty, languishing in prison, or wander as pilgrims and strangers on the face of the earth.

Man should live close to the soil and dig in it every day of his life, if he would be normal, healthy and happy. All originality comes out of the soil. This divorcement of man from nature is what makes cities "wens on the face of civilization," which as Max Nordau has said would soon become vast cemeteries, were it not for the constant influx of people from the country. This divorcement of man from nature, this denying men their birth-right in the soil is back of most of the ills to which flesh is heir. We have founded our thrones and altars on the premise that a small per cent. of the people can own the earth and all be well. The fallacy of such an assumption has been disproven by all the blood stained history of the past. The inequitable distribution of wealth as a result of these premises has given us swollen fortunes on the one hand, and dire poverty on the other for which all the charities and palliatives under the sun can never atone.

Man's divorce from nature spelled "Paradise Lost." Man's restoration to nature will spell "Paradise Regained."

JOSIE THORPE PRICE.

The Enlightened Selfishness of Unselfishness

NAUGHT save, poverty, or the fear of future poverty, or lowering of present living and social standards, can greatly retard the real progress of civilization.

This fear constitutes, in varying degrees, in every level of society, either the most generally depressing influence, or the strongest incentive to inordinate selfishness and greed. It is the basal barrier to general prosperity, to reasonable degrees of human happiness, and to both domestic and international peace.

The chief duty of man, toward both himself and society, is genuinely earnest seeking for the fundamental cause of and remedy for such "unearned," or needlessly excessive, production and distribution costs as inevitably superinduce artificially high "overhead" in every process of production and thus necessitate undeserved and unavoidable poverty.

Before either general or continuous prosperity and peace can obtain, the compelling divinity and intelligently directed selfishness innate in man must be conscious of a goal sufficiently adequate to make them possible. This goal cannot be less, and need be no more, than merely just and natural human relations.
Correct social relations are necessarily and primarily dependent upon universal equality of economic opportunity, upon immensely diminishing all production costs and naturally stimulating production. These are wholly contingent upon broad and open-minded legislation with these all-important ends in view.

Should we not regard the discovery of the economic cause of unnecessarily produced poverty, and the legislative application of its natural remedy, as the noblest ideal of honorable selfishness, as the highest type of practical altruism, as the most intelligently conceived dominating purpose in life to which reasoning minds may aspire?

By simply untaxing productive business and human endeavor, by enabling all productive gains to thus be made almost immeasurably greater and easier, to every class of producers that contribute thought or labor to human needs, the seeming, though delusive, advantage in the dangerous selfishness now reflected in grasping greed can be effectually nullified.

Only thus can strife and undeserved poverty be either lessened or abolished.

Dr. Frank Crane expressed a profoundly philosophic truth when he said, "The most enlightened selfishness is unselfishness." It is in the present highly and honorably profitable, and in the future will prove the strongest safeguard to both human and property rights. K. P. ALEXANDER

Some Samples of the Lies used In the California Campaign

AMENDMENT 20 has been rejected, poverty, vice and crime will increase, as will the price of land needed for homes. Land monopolists rule, for no other class could be injured by Amendment 20.

The following lies helped to defeat the Single Tax:
1. That Joseph Fels left an endowment for Single Tax.
2. That Amendment 20 concealed its real purpose.
3. That the final goal of Single Taxers is communism.
4. That the Single Tax would be paid by tenants.
5. That city dwellers would be free of taxes, the farmers being forced to pay all taxes.
6. That bonds are secured by land values.
7. That a tax on site rent would confiscate improvements.
8. That the site rent tax has not been tried in the United States.
9. That taxing land value only failed in Canada.
10. That the Single Tax would free corporations from all taxes.
11. That Single Taxers are bolsheviks, and believe "All property is theft."

These lies were invented so that land holders may continue taking eight billions of dollars as site rent, and giving nothing in return; and so that Los Angeles land values may increase from 1,000 per cent. to 20,000 per cent. in another twenty years, as they have in the past. — C. F. HUNT, in Wilshire Weekly, Los Angeles, Calif.

The Industrial Conflict

HOW THE WARRING ELEMENTS MAY BE RECONCILED

I.

THE existing relations between corporations and their employees call for the most serious consideration. Strikes, lockouts, unemployment, business failures, not only disrupt industry but also destroy social peace and prosperity.

Each side to the controversy holds views which it believes to be right, and each has repeatedly tried to apply the methods it approves. Thus far the results of such efforts have been wholly unsatisfactory. May it not be that, in this instance, as in many others, "the looker-on sees most of the game?" Is it not more than possible that an impartial but deeply interested professional man may suggest a plan which will bring industrial peace?

The solution advanced by organized labor may be summed up in the term "collective bargaining." No doubt in some instances, much more frequent in Great Britain than in the United States, differences between an employer and his employees have been settled amicably by a compromise agreed to by representatives of the two contending parties. But how often will such well meant efforts have completely failed! Indeed, most of our strong corporations either refuse altogether to confer, or else reject the collective bargain which is offered. Organizations of employers take the stand that the owners of the industry must make the rules by which it is to be conducted. With some reason, they assert that they alone, and not their employees, know the conditions which must determine the wages to be paid and the number of hours to run.

When labor insists as a sine qua non upon collective bargaining, it seems impossible under existing conditions to prove it in the wrong. An individual laborer cannot bargain upon equal terms with a corporation. If an employee believes, or knows, that his services are worth more than the wages he receives, he is individually helpless. Should he go to the agent of the corporation and put his request for higher pay, he will be told, as a rule, that if not satisfied he can go, that there are a plenty of just as good workmen ready to take his place for the wages he is receiving. If the worker quits and applies elsewhere for employment, he is pretty sure to find the market price for his services no higher—more likely as a stranger, he will be obliged to start in at a lower wage.

On the other hand, not one of the plans proposed by employing corporations has proved at all acceptable to organized labor.

The proposition, emanating from Mr. John D. Rockefeller, Jr., as an outcome of the horrible industrial strife in Colorado, has been denounced by Samuel Gompers.

Mr. Rockefeller's scheme is that all of those who work for his company, whether members of organized labor or