without Mr. Rockefeller's permission. Because_ Mr. Rockefeller feels it best to refuse permission to workers whose views on trade unionism differ from his own, strife has arisen. This was inevitable under existing economic conditions. If these conditions are to be continued, the Federal government will continue to be put to trouble and expense through the policy of individuals who insist on enforcement of the legal power conferred on them. It may be possible some time to urge with more success than has met the recent effort, that men like Mr. Rockefeller generously refrain from making full use of their power. But would it not be better to destroy the privileges which make them powerful?

S. D.

Rationalizing the Monroe Doctrine.

New conditions require new policies. Principles remain the same, but the application varies with the varying factors. That the western continent should be kept free of the machinations of European politics is just as important today as it was in the time of President Monroe; but that the means to that end, the so-called Monroe Doctrine, has become painfully inadequate has been apparent to those who would see. A policy that saved the nations of Central and South America, while they were too weak to defend themselves from the domination of Europe, has, now that some of those countries have themselves reached maturity, become humiliating and irritating to a degree that has nullified all its good influences, and given rise to a train of evils that has left us more hated than the countries from which wesought to save them. No course of conduct, it is safe to say, that results in estranging those whom we would serve can be considered wise, or result in permanent good to them or ourselves.



The United States contained at the time the Monroe Doctrine was announced in 1823 but little over ten million people. Chile now has more than a third that number, or more than either Denmark, Norway or Greece. Argentina has over two-thirds as many inhabitants as we then had. And Brazil has two and a third times as many as we had. Yet we assume to hold them in leading strings as though they were immature and irresponsible nations. And when questions arise concerning the smaller and weaker countries we exercise our self-imposed rights of suzerainty in utter disegard of their desires or wishes. Yet some of our people are surprised that we are hated in Central and South America!

It is this situation that must be borne in mind to appreciate the importance of the tender and acceptance of the good offices of Argentina, Brazil, and Chile, the "A. B. C." countries, to act as mediators between this country and Mexico. It is possible that their services will come to nought so far as Mexico is immediately concerned; but the resultant effect throughout the western hemisphere both now and in the future will be of inestimable value. By this single act we abandon our exalted post of suzerain, and descend into the ranks of democratic nations. We have taken the first step toward international fellowship. Let it be followed by the logical course as it opens up before us, and we shall soon re-establish international friendship. It was not that we were of the Teutonic strain, while our southern neighbors were of the Latin that has kept us apart; it was our arrogance, our conceit, our assumed superiority that has led to the estrangement. They have been afraid of us; and where there is fear there can be no friendship. No matter if we do the very thing they know should be done, still they will hate us for doing it for them, instead of with them. Co-operation will lead to confidence, and trade will bring understanding; and out of these will come a mutual appreciation that will lead to international peace. 8. C.

Neighborly Confidence.

The tales of violence to Americans, and the destruction of their property, that have come from all parts of Mexico convey far more than a disregard for human rights on the part of some Mexicans. It is not natural for any man to quarrel with a friendly neighbor. Americans have been in Mexico, but not of Mexico. They have gone there for the purpose of exploiting the country. They have bargained with those who exploited the Mexicans before them, they have fraternized with them, and they have in every way held themselves aloof from the people at large. They have received big wages in companies that have paid big dividends, while the peon has continued to live at the point of bare subsistence. The time of reckoning came. The revolution pitted the peon against the aristocrat; and the moment civil authority was withdrawn, the stored-up wrath of the despised laborer was visited upon the head of the arrogant foreigner. Those who would receive justice in time of strife should accord justice in time of peace. s. c.

What Would Be Gained?

How much better off would Mexico be if it had



an American governor like Ammons of Colorado or Hatfield of West Virginia? Would the peons be less inclined to engage in a revolution under such conditions as now exist in southern Colorado? How much better off would Mexicans be under American rule than are the dwellers in the slums of our great cities? Will not the Hearst papers and other jingo organs explain?

8. D.

Strength and Weakness.

President Wilson, the Idealist, standing for peace abroad and justice at home, has been the strongest personality in the world during the past year. His firmness in opposing predatory business, and his determination to carry out legislation in the interests of the country at large, have rallied the support of such a body of sane citizens as have not stood behind a President in this country for generations. And this same firmness and fairness was carried into his foreign policy. For a year he has disregarded sneers abroad and taunts at home, and continued in the way of peace and honor. It was the finest example of foreign policy the world has seen. Mistaken it may have been as to details; but its main purpose was carried forward upon a plane never before assumed in statecraft. And the nation that stood behind the President in both his foreign policy and his measures at home has come nearer than ever before to reaching its ideals.

But President Wilson, Commander-in-Chief of the United States, making war upon a foreign nation on his own initiative, would find himself so beset by the political vultures that scent the rich pickings of disorder that he would see his strength dissipated in opposing the new forces aroused. And he would be as impotent in striving with the newly entrenched privileged interests as a shorn Samson. And the nation that has tried to live up to a new ideal, that has attempted to set the world an example in its foreign relations that should square with the highest of moral concepts, that stood in a fair way to enlarge the powers of the Peace Court, and bring about international disarmament, this nation, at the very moment of success, turns its back upon the fair prospect, and scuttles into the ranks of the militarists. To have resorted to arms in the beginning would have been bad enough; but to rise above that temptation, and enter upon the altruistic policy of passive resistance, only to fail, is to discourage others from attempting it. That failure will strengthen the hands of the militarists as few other things can.



Is there any possible escape from our dilemma? The army and the navy want war, the privileged interests want war, and, worst of all, the people want war. With all these influences, led by cheap politicians, small statesmen, and a sensational press, is there any hope that the President can maintain his policy. It is possible. It is possible to drop a lighted match into a keg of powder without exploding it. Contructive statesmanship lies not in lamenting past mistakes, or heaping reproaches upon those who followed a wrong cause. but in making the most of the opportunities that remain. It is still possible to avoid a Mexican war; but the probability depends entirely upon the ability of the President to withstand the first on-rush of the war spirit that is sweeping over the country. To hold up his hands, therefore, and to do what we can to create a supporting public opinion, is to preserve our sole fleeting hope.



Fighting for Our Country.

Marion Letcher, American Consul at Chihuahua, estimates the American-owned property in Mexico, including all kinds, at \$1,057,770,000, Mexican-owned property at \$793,187,242 and British-owned property at \$321,302,800. Are not the Mexicans straining a point in making so much ado about their country?



The war scare has been of service to predatory interests in bringing about the passage of the dangerous and iniquitous Hay army bill. The present state of the popular mind makes it hard to cause the peril of this action to be fully realized. But sooner or later a bitter experience or two will open the eyes of the people to what has been done.

S. D.

Refuse the Increase.

Railroad attorneys declare that refusal of the requested five per cent increase in freight rates must bring Federal ownership of railways. If that is true then it is alone sufficient reason for refusing their request. If private monopoly of public highways can be so easily destroyed then the Commission has a better opportunity to perform a public service than has hitherto been supposed possible.

8. D.

