no sooner had war been declared than from every quarter of Britain's vast dominions came assurances of allegiance and offers of assistance. Criticism of the Government continued as before, but a united front was presented to the enemy. It was not, indeed, unlike the Englishman's habitual practice for Sir Edward Carson to urge the Ulster volunteers to enlist, and at the same moment to promise he would lead them in rebellion at the conclusion of the war.

It is in this freedom of expression that lies England's strength. Her citizens speak freely whatever comes to mind and this very act of criticism removes the desire for action. What in some countries would be considered treason is there looked upon as the exercise of an inalienable right; and the disturbing idea that would, if denied verbal expression, lead to armed resistance, comes into the open, where free discussion brings mutual understanding. Herein lies wisdom for this country. A part of our people are too ready to look upon the freest criticism as likely to lead to violence, whereas the very reverse is true. It is impossible to stop a man's thought without destroying him, and as long as he thinks his thought will find expression, if not openly then secretly. If the expression be given openly it will meet the criticism of its ablest opponents. If the idea be erroneous its critics will sooner or later demonstrate the fact. If the idea be sound, its advocates will ultimately prove it, and it will prevail. But if the idea be prohibited public expression, it will pass secretly from one to another, and many persons, fascinated by the charm of secrecy, and denied the restraint of adequate criticism, will be led into wrongful action. If an idea could be destroyed, as a noxious weed, or a dangerous animal, there might be some excuse for attempting censorship; but since that is impossible the attempt is altogether foolish. It is not only foolish, but dangerous. To proclaim the right of censorship is to strike at the very root of popular government, for it is nothing less than the assumption that the people are incapable of individual judgment; and without the freest of individual judgment there can be no permanency of popular institutions. S. C.

The Cost of Preparedness.

From 1895 to the present year France has spent, according to the Statesman's Year Book, on her army and navy the sum of \$4,533,520,998. This includes no expenditure for the present war.

During the same period Germany spent \$4,600,-862,042. Figures are not at hand to show the amount spent by each between the close of the These will Franco - Prussian war and 1895. probably bring the total of military expenditures from the end of the last war to the beginning of the present one to approximately ten billion dollars for each nation. The German people were told that France contemplated revenge and therefore they must keep prepared. The French people were urged to prepare to retake Alsace and Lorraine and to be ready in case of another attack by Germany. And what is the net result? A war in which the casualties on each side are figured in the hundreds of thousands; in which the destruction of property is appalling; in which industry and trade have been disorganized and crippled; and in which frightful misery of women, children and other non-combatants must far exceed injury done to the actual fighters. And the end is not yet. Such is the fruit of militarism, of the fallacy that in time of peace we must prepare for war.

ġ.

It is evident enough that the war indemnity secured from France in 1871, together with the cession of Alsace and Lorraine, has proven a loss to Germany. She has lost far more in preparations to avert revenge for her harshness than was secured from her defeated antagonist. And France would be better off today had she devoted to peaceful purposes the vast sums wasted in preparing for war. The victor in the present struggle cannot possibly gain from a vanquished opponent more than a small part of what the victory has cost, and, should neither side profit by experience, another period of waste must set in with the possibility of another cataclysm.

0

It is not France and Germany alone that have need of pondering over these facts. With our own militarists urging us to ignore experience and imitate Franco-German folly it would be well to reflect on the showing that has been made.

8. D.



Like Causes Produce Like Effects.

Military rule is the same despotic tyranny in Germany as it is in West Virginia, Colorado, Russia and Montana. The following notice sent to subscribers of The Vorwarts, the leading Socialist paper of Berlin, speaks for itself:

The Military authority of the Marken (District of

