

# The Public

A National Journal of Fundamental Democracy, and  
a Weekly Narrative of History in the Making.

Vol. XVII.

CHICAGO, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1914.

No. 868.

EDITORS, 1898-1913: LOUIS F. POST AND ALICE THACHER POST

SAMUEL DANZIGER, MANAGING EDITOR.  
STOUGHTON COOLEY, } ASSOCIATE EDITORS.  
ANGELINE L. GRAVES, }  
STANLEY BOWMAR, BUSINESS MANAGER.

ADVISORY AND CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

JAMES H. DILLARD, Virginia	LINCOLN STEFFENS, New York
HENRY F. RING, Texas	HENRY GEORGE, JR., New York
HERBERT S. BROWN, Ohio	FREDERIC O. HOWE, New York
HERBERT QUINN, West Virginia	ROBERT BAKER, New York
Mrs. HARRIET TAYLOR UPTON, Ohio	GRACE ISABEL COLBURN, New York
BRAND WHITLOCK, Ohio	W. G. EGGLESTON, California
JOHN Z. WHITE, Illinois	C. E. S. WOOD, Oregon
LLEWELLYN JONES, Illinois	R. F. FRETIGREW, South Dakota
Mrs. LONA INGHAM ROBINSON, Calif.	J. W. S. CALLIE, England
L. F. O. GARVIN, Rhode Island	JOHN PAUL, England
S. A. STOCKWELL, Minnesota	ERNEST BLAT, Australia
WILLIAM P. HILL, Missouri	GEORGE FOWLES, New Zealand

Published by STANLEY BOWMAR, Manager

Elsworth Building, 327 South Dearborn Street, Chicago

Single Copy, Five Cents      Yearly Subscription, One Dollar  
Canadian and Foreign, \$1.50

Entered as Second-Class Matter April 16, 1892, at the Post Office at Chicago, Illinois, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

## CONTENTS.

### EDITORIAL:

Incompetent Governments .....	1105
Red Cross Promotes War—Bolton Hall .....	1105
Rights of Neutrals .....	1106
Disarmament and Peace .....	1106
The Christmas Ship .....	1107
Socialists and Militarism .....	1107
British Blundering .....	1108
Mexico Still in the Throes .....	1108
Woman's Cause Is Marching .....	1109
The Cause of Crime .....	1109
A Big Country .....	1110
Automobile Franchises .....	1110
Edmonton's Delinquent Taxes .....	1111
An Unenforceable Law .....	1111
What California Has Lost .....	1112

### EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE:

The Ohio Election—H. P. Boynton .....	1112
Non Partisanship in Minnesota—C. J. Buell .....	1112

### INCIDENTAL SUGGESTIONS:

A Logical Conclusion—J. Wilcox .....	1112
--------------------------------------	------

### NEWS NARRATIVE:

Woman Suffrage Convention .....	1113
Southern Suffragists' Convention .....	1114
Mayors in National Conference .....	1114
Conference of Governors .....	1114
Federation of Labor Convention .....	1114
Honoring Cleveland's Pioneer Radicals .....	1115
Commission on Industrial Relations .....	1115
Citizens Protest Against "Jim Crow" Government .....	1115
Delayed Election News .....	1115
Mexico and the United States .....	1115
The European War .....	1115
News Notes .....	1117
Press Opinions .....	1118

### RELATED THINGS:

The Man in the Ranks—V. G. Hoffman .....	1119
The Light Comes to Our Village—Charles F. Shan- drew .....	1119
War and Trade—Calvin Tompkins .....	1120
My Fatherland—Norman N. MacMan .....	1122

### BOOKS:

On Marriage .....	1122
Up to Date Efficiency .....	1123
Pamphlets .....	1123
Periodicals .....	1123

## EDITORIAL

### Incompetent Governments.

A government that knows nothing better to do than to declare war confesses its incompetence. The same is true of a government that knows no better way to avoid foreign attack than a display of armed force.

S. D.

### Red Cross Promotes War.

We frown on the supply of goods for the use of the unwounded soldiers, but we seem to think it a virtue to send money and goods for the wounded soldiers. Of course, for the belligerents to supply Red Cross service under a white flag of their own wounded is only a necessary humanity. That they ordinarily supply it to the wounded of the enemy is one of the few redeeming palliatives of the stupid wickedness of this war. But that Americans should send money to do for the belligerents what their governments would have to do for them if we did not do it, is on a par with supplying food for the exhausted men or ammunition for those who have to defend themselves. It is helping to prolong the war.

This idea will not be popular with those who are guided by sensibility rather than by sense. But we have at our own very doors ample and harrowing distress and misery to occupy all our time and attention. Every charity is compelled to cut down its budget, and hundreds here who might be helped are suffering from the impossibility of getting necessities; whilst, at great expense, we are sending aid and merchandise for "the poor soldiers," whose past support of governments bent on warfare is mainly responsible for this present evil.

"See America first" and you will find enough to do without lending aid and comfort to the gunmen who are on the murder job. If, however, we decide to help along the war, let us at least decide

first on which side we are; the impartial distribution of relief merely prolongs the agony.

BOLTON HALL.



### The Rights of Neutrals.

Sweden, Norway, Denmark, and Holland are objecting to the carrying of the war out upon the fields of commerce; and are asking this country to join in a protest against the planting of mines in the high seas. The right of warring nations to mine their own waters is recognized, but to mine international waters is to place an unnecessary hardship upon neutral countries. The burden of international war must in any event be felt by the innocent, but to carry destruction of peaceful commerce upon the high seas is only less objectionable than the invasion of a neutral country. The declaration of London, and of the Hague Court will be in sad need of revision by the time this war is finished. If wars must go on, means should be found to prevent the warriors from disturbing such of the inhabitants of the earth as are not a party to the fight. What with dropping bombs on non-combatants from air craft, and setting floating mines adrift in the paths of commerce, one begins to wonder wherein lie the hero-making forces of war. It was a dry jest after all, that protest against the inhumanity of employing African savages in civilized warfare.

S. C.



### Disarmament and Peace.

A reader of *The Public*, who considers its views on war "out of tune with the opinion of most sensible thinking men," offers the following comment:

First, I think you seem to forget that the war is not something that all parties concerned can enter or keep out of at will. Your attitude about Belgium, for instance, should take into consideration that the Belgians had no other courses open than to fight to the death, or walk off and give their land to the aggressors. They are to be looked upon only as the most unfortunate people now on the earth, as they have been killed or driven out homeless, due to no act of their own. No criticism of those who would help these helpless people, or of the principles involved in acting charitably toward them, is in order or should be tolerated among humane people, however wrong or futile that kind of help or charity is under other circumstances.

Second. War is a fact. However strong a country may be made by singletax, nevertheless we of the United States have no singletax yet, and even if we did it would not prevent the possibility of a thoroughly imperialistic power profiting by conquest of our country. Where there is a possibility of a profit there is usually someone ready to get the profit. And so it might be if we were to disarm and

render ourselves defenseless. Some well armed power could easily drive us out and take possession, or levy a tax upon us which all the high ideals and brotherly love on our part could not help us from paying. To disarm with the world as it is would be to court this danger. Armaments for defense must be maintained at any rate until we have reached the singletaxers' dream of perfection. So disarming is no question to consider now.



That the Belgians are entitled to sympathy probably no one will question. But it does not follow that no criticism should be tolerated of wrong or futile plans to help them. On the contrary, the greater the distress, the greater the need of adopting correct methods to remedy it, and the greater the need of criticizing those who—however good their intentions—resort to wrong or futile methods.



The objections to disarmament, urged above, are such as might have had weight in the days of the viking pirates, but are not applicable to modern conditions. Neither the levy of a tax nor any other form of robbery, could profit a modern conquering nation enough to compensate for disturbance, caused by war, to its own trade and industry, to say nothing of the expense of carrying on a war. Under Free Trade, even without the Singletax, an imperialistic nation would injure itself as much by carrying on a successful predatory war as would any of the States of our Union should they wage such a war against the other States. Even without Free Trade war is less probable between an armed and an unarmed nation than it is between two nations presumably prepared for war. To prevent by physical force a predatory attack requires not merely an army and navy, but a stronger army and navy than any other nation, or possible hostile combination of nations. That means that there must always be some defenseless nations, and that peace can not be assured by a policy of international terrorism.



While disarmament is the best guarantee of peace under existing conditions, were such conditions established in any country as would follow complete application of the Singletax no other policy would need to be thought of. That would be a benefit not only to the inhabitants of that country, but to the world. War waged against it would at once cut off industrial opportunities from all outside people, including those of the attacking nation. There is surely not a nation of today, classed as civilized, the people of which would approve so unbusiness-like an act.

S. D.