Land and Freedom

FORMERLY THE SINGLE TAX REVIEW

VOL. XXXIV

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER, 1934

No. 6

Comment and Reflection

THE sufficient answer to the architects of economic planning is that natural law has already provided for t. That is to say, in the natural forces governing proluction and distribution we discover the great natural aw of cooperation and competition. Such economic lanning as may be legitimately undertaken must take nto account these natural forces. It will then be found tow little is needed in the way of governmental laws of irection, control or regimentation.

EX-PRESIDENT HOOVER has said: "Government may promote cooperation, but to liberty cooperation is a concept of consent among free men, not a comulsion of regulated men." He is thinking here only of overnment regimentation and not the kind of regulation—if we may give it that name—imposed by our conomic system. Neither Mr. Hoover nor Mr. Rooselt propose to change that. Mr. Hoover talks of liberty ut the kind of liberty he talks about is the regimentation the wages of the worker by the unemployed man amoring for work at the factory gates or elsewhere here the opportunities for work seem to beckon.

OOVER'S thought is purely superficial. He views the depression as a "transitory paralysis." If this his view it is to be feared that he has gone no further his analysis than the administration he is criticizing. e have had a number of these "transitory paralytic" tacks—only they are of continuous recurrence. The basins of an epileptic patient are transitory, but epilepsy a disease. So there must be some reason for it, some use of the disease, and Mr. Hoover has made no attempt discover it. The Roosevelt administration has adopted ol remedies for the disease, but Mr. Hoover has sugsted no remedy at all. Madame Roland is reported have said on her way to the guillotine, "Oh, Liberty hat crimes are committed in thy name!" So with that perty in whose name are concealed apologies for tyranny. the case of Mr. Hoover it is a verbal device to hide alities—perhaps not consciously.

T is pointed out that during the depression 30,000,000 children were attending school and 23,000,000 auto-

mobiles were driving around the country. We do not doubt, that at the time when "great estates were ruining Italy," as Tacitus has told us, the imperial Caesars were able to point to similar signs of "prosperity" in a dying civilization. This is one of the curious tricks the imagination plays us when a purpose is to be served, or shall we say, when privilege is seeking a defense. Under the deceptive cry of "liberty" the Republican party may yet be able to rally a successful opposition to the Roosevelt administration on a purely negative programme. But the victory will be an empty one.

IN these days when natural law in economics and govern-ment is set aside it is refreshing to scan a book which a correspondent, George White of Long Branch, N. J., has sent us. It is entitled, "The Science of Government Founded on Natural Law," and was written nearly a hundred years ago, in 1841. The author is Clinton Roosevelt, undoubtedly an ancestor of the president. We quote the opening paragraph which is noteworthy: "I toil and toil and others reap the fruits. Who will show me real good? To whatsoever point I turn my sorrowing regards, naught but misery and the prospect of still greater misery do I witness. Whichsoever party gains the victory, we still bear the burdens of society. In Great Britain also, the land from which our statesmen with an apparent consciousness of mental weakness, copy all their precedents and principles of law and government, it is self-evident, that with the increase of the means of happiness, the great body of producers have the less and less, and if like causes still effect like consequences, so must it be in time with us when our public lands shall all be occupied. Yea, even now, those who produce the most by genius and industry secure the least, while those who seek not to perform that which is truly useful to society, accumulate the most of all the fruits of toil and ingenuity." There is a little after this in the work of Clinton Roosevelt that is fundamental, but the kindly vision that inspires much of what follows, though quaintly and imperfectly expsessed, makes significant reading at this time.

WE have received much interesting correspondence from E. W. Nicolaus, of New Zealand, editor of the Commonweal and one of the leaders of the Commonwealth Land Party of that country, with Father J. A. Higgins,