

Corporation of London /
Andrew Baker, Newham
Council / Camden Council /
Ealing Council / Richard
Davis, Ocean / britishwaterways.co.uk / the London
Tourist Board / Ria Dastidar

Harnessing Cros



A bottlenecked, gridlocked London is a blight for all UK taxpayers. Crossrail promises to unblock the capital's clotted arteries and quadruple land values in the process, reports

Peter Wilsher. As a result funding the project calls for a recasting of the unsatisfactory tax system, argues Paul Brandon

EACH OF THE 13 separate benefits offered by the proposal to build Crossrail has the potential to enormously enhance the whole nation's prosperity and quality of life (see graphic).

It is of great importance to recognise, when the major political and planning decisions come to be made, that the gains will not accrue only – or even mainly – to London and its possibly pampered and overprovided citizens. A bottlenecked, gridlocked London is a blight for every UK taxpayer,

srail's unseen benefit



and unblocking its clotted arteries will bring dividends for all.

Properly exploited, the returns will spread wide and deep: to the Home Counties' communities of the Green Belt and beyond; to the often rundown cities, towns and villages of the South East at large; and in several important ways, to Britain as a whole.

As yet, however, there is no accurate estimate for the total investment that might be involved, and certainly no accepted decision on how the financing of such a vast project might be most equitably and efficiently shared.

Anything like a full-scale, cost-benefit analysis is still at a very early stage, but some preliminary estimates are starting to emerge.

West End property consultancy firm Hillier Parker has so far calculated the hardest figures. The consultants have worked out that just building Core Route 1, with the expected knock-on effect on jobs and demand for extra office and residential space, would result in a roughly £6bn increase in property values Other estimates reckon that the benefit could mean a quadrupling of property values throughout London. This is often unacknowledged as a benefit of Crossrail.

To tap into this for funding purposes Hillier Parker discuss two methods available under current legislation – one, via the Planning Gain charges which can be levied under Section 106 of the 1990 Town & Country Planning Act, or alternatively, through the directly related increase in rateable values.

Either way they reckon that a contribution of some £2bn to £3bn could be levied, leaving two-thirds of the resulting "planning gain" still in private hands.

There is increasing distaste, however, for the idea that the provision of

major-scale infrastructure improvements, largely built at public expense, should end up making multi-millionaires of those lucky people who own land on or near the chosen routes.

Gradually more radical, and equitable ideas are being explored for spreading the gains, extracting a larger and fairer contribution from the lucky few, and at the same time increasing society's own willingness to undertake a properly assessed share of the very substantial costs.

One possible set of solutions, following a line pioneered in the United States and partially embraced by London's mayor Ken Livingstone and his transport adviser Bob Kiley, goes under the generic title of Tax Incremental Financing.

However, critics – even those sympathetic to the general notion – find its details flawed, especially when an attempt is made to apply them to a Britain where city design and development, and the embedded property interests they have generated, go back not decades but often hundreds of years.

Those who have thought seriously about such matters tend to favour a much more root-and-branch approach to the problem of capturing and harnessing land value.

That would require a wholesale recasting of the country's long-developing, impenetrably complex, and increasingly unsatisfactory tax system, with all the immense rethinking and political fall-out that that would involve (see Metropolis rising, below).

Metropolis rising

Crossrail promises to revive London but, says **Paul Brandon**, how it's paid for will mean the difference between taxing the poor to make a few millionaires or wiping away poverty in the capital

FUNDING OF THE London Crossrail project is now a key issue. The London Chamber of Commerce and the property developer Canary Wharf have in recent months felt the need either to discuss or research various options. But the decision over funding rests elsewhere.

Bob Kiley, transport commissioner for London, favours Tax Incremental Financing (TIF), as one tool in his fiscal toolbox. Aware of the land price boom that followed the Jubilee Line Extension he considers the "land levy" as the "ideal way to go" to fund Crossrail. TIF also features in Mayor

Livingstone's ambitious London Plan and during the summer received the backing of Lord Rogers, another key member of his team. So what is the attraction of TIF?

In the Sixties and Seventies, the federal and state governments in the US began cutting back economic development programs. In the Eighties and Nineties, TIF increasingly helped cities to revitalise their communities. There are now at least a 1,000 schemes underway across America.

The Wisconsin Department of Commerce describes TIF as a tool that "can help a



municipality undertake a public project to stimulate beneficial development or redevelopment that would not otherwise occur. It is a mechanism for financing local economic development project in underdeveloped and blighted areas. Taxes generated by the increased property values pay for land acquisition or needed public works". In other words the capital cost of regeneration projects are securitised against the projected increase in land values and economic activity. The project becomes self-financing.