THE LANDLESS ARE HUNGRY

At a time when so much political and philosophical thought seems to be based on a half-belief in the doctrine of Malthus and when even the President of the British Association can question the desirability of prophylactic medicine, it is refreshing to discover a book so sanely written as Josué de Castro's Geography of Hunger*. That hydra-headed monster, the Malthus theory, has been destroyed on many previous occasions only to arise again and one can but hope that Mr. de Castro's patient and factual survey will now have brought about its final demise and that never again will this theory be advanced to support a false argument or justify a sadistic policy.

The main argument of the book is that "overpopulation does not cause starvation, but starvation is the cause of overpopulation"; that "hunger is a universal phenomenon not the result of any natural necessity" and "results from grave errors and defects and "results from grave errors and defects in social organisation." This proposition is amply supported by a wealth of detail and particularly by the figures which show how a high birth rate results from dietary deficiencies. The answer is therefore not to call vainly for birth control or accept famine as a natural and necessary calamity, but to readjust social organisation so as to remove hunger-which the author defines as a dietary deficiency, not absolute starvation. It is clear that the world is not overpopulated but rather the reverse since only one-eighth of the earth's natural resources is at present cultivated and only by an increase in population can its potentialities be adequately developed.

Having demolished Malthus and demonstrated that soil erosion is not a serious factor (the author refers to it as a "subterfuge" to cover up the "deleterious factors" responsible for the "decadence of the world") the author appears to attribute hunger to landlordism and colonial exploitation. He does not state the former directly since he is avowedly avoiding politics, but from the number of references it might be thought that such is his view. To quote a few examples from the many in the book he says, concerning landlordism, that in China "3 per cent of the 'farmers' hold a monopoly of 45 per cent of the cultivated land," in India "48 per cent of the cultivated lands belong to the large scale landlord . . . who are businessmen rather than farmers . . . and appear only at harvest time to collect their 40-60 per cent of the crop," in Africa "the plantation system is based on great land holdings, or latifundia organised for large-scale cash crops," in Spain "the arable land was monopolised by a handful of great landlords." His attack on colonialism is more direct and he maintains that while the colonial powers have carried out extensive developments it has invariably been with a view to providing cheap raw materials for their own industrial machine and always to the disadvantage of the particular colony concerned.

Although mention is made of Marx, whose teachings the author appears to support, it would seem that he was unaware of the writings of Henry George. So much of the work is complementary to George's teachings that phrases and paragraphs of *Progress*

* Geography of Hunger by Josué de Castro. Publishers, Gollancz, London—18s. An extract from this book appeared in our July, 1952, issue.

and Poverty are constantly called to mind while reading the book—Henry George's demolition of Malthus, for instance, in Book II of Progress and Poverty contains much that is apposite to the author's argument.

It is, therefore, somewhat disappointing to find no reference whatsoever to the land question in the author's solution which seems to be more an expansion of colonialism rather than a radical departure from it. He calls for a "broader and more intensive study of nutrition throughout the world" and for a plan "to raise the productive levels of marginal peoples and groups and through economic progress to integrate them into the world economic community." Yet at the same time he is opposed to the production of single crops at the most economic point—the division of labour-since he considers this the worst aspect of colonialism. Thus the production of sugar in Cuba and oil in Venezuela should not be based on "profit margins through the play of competition for industrial products," but must be related to "the cost of basic necessities . . . " " Productivity is not the key to the problem, but it must be approached in terms of humanistic economics."

Having overlooked the land question despite the clear references to it in the first part of the book, the author has led himself into a series of contradictions. Perhaps having observed the failure of the various agrarian reforms to which he refers he regards the solution of landlordism as too difficult except on a world scale and does not realise that there is an alternative to the physical sub-division and distribution of large estates into uneconomic sizes. Observing that the colonial producers of raw materials receive but a pittance he proposes a more diversified production even though he knows that tropical countries are unsuitable for many forms of production. At the same time he suggests an "International Commodity Clearing House" and planning on a world scale which is surely colonialism run wild and which would inevitably result in restrictions, quotas and controls aimed at maintaining price levels to the advantage of producers, not consumers.

It is evident that the cause of wide-spread hunger is the same as that which causes poverty to go handin-hand with progress, the great enigma of our age which not to answer is to be destroyed. The answer is to collect land values for the people by means of land value taxation, not to engage in expensive and wasteful organisations for the redistribution of land to the landless, since by taking the full annual value of the land (all land, not just agricultural land) and freeing the products of human effort from taxation the land is returned to the people more effectively and more permanently than ever it would be by re-distribution. Colonial exploitation is but one aspect of the land question and the most serious fault of the colonial powers is that they perpetuated and buttressed the system of private expropriations of the rent of land rather than collect it for public use.

Failure to appreciate this has marred an otherwise valuable book, but we can nevertheless admire the author for his optimism in the face of the picture he presents, agree with much of his argument and hope with him that his book will have a wide and cauterising effect. We cannot conclude better than

in the words of the author himself: "The road to survival, therefore, does not lie in the neo-Malthusian prescription to eliminate surplus peoples, nor in birth control, but in the effort to make everyone on the face of the earth productive." It only remains to add that this can best be done by making the face of the earth freely available for all to work and the products of their work freely available to all to buy.

R. C. C.

DR. VIGGO STARCKE A Welcome Visitor

We are pleased to announce that Dr. Viggo Starcke, Member of the Danish Parliament and leader of Retsforbundet, the Justice Party, has accepted an invitation to spend a short time in England. He is to arrive on 29th January and on that evening he will be entertained to dinner by the Political and Economic Committee of the National Liberal Club. Advantage will be taken of his stay to arrange several meetings under auspices of the Henry George movement, in London and hopefully at other centres. It will be an opportunity also for the public to hear the message of true economic freedom spoken by one of its most able exponents. A cordial welcome awaits Dr. Starcke. Particulars of the gatherings will be given in our January issue.

"THE CHALLENGE OF THE PLANNED ECONOMY"

Despite the thick black fog, the worst on record, which blanketed London and its environs on Saturday, December 6, the public One-Day School, organised by The Georgeists (London Branch), and held in Caxton Hall, Westminster, was extremely successful. Apart from two minor concessions to the elements (delaying the start by fifteen minutes until 10.30 a.m. and reducing the tea interval by half an hour) the programme as advertised was carried through. The breakdown of the public transport services prevented many ticket holders from attending and no doubt deterred many others, but nevertheless about sixty people were present.

The One-Day School, presided over by Mr. A. W. Madsen, B.Sc., consisted of three main sessions. Each session under a separate chairman in turn comprised three half-hour addresses followed by ample time for questions and lively discussion. The impressive "platform," thirteen strong, thus achieved, testified to the growing strength of the movement.

The morning session, "The Challenge," with Mr. W. E. Fo in the Chair, was devoted to the planned economy as it exists. Mr. L. A. Stevenson presented a critical analysis of planning at

Protection or Free Trade. By Henry George. The tariff question considered with especial regard to the interests of labour. Carries the discussion to a point not dealt with in other books on this question. "The most popular and most scientific exposition of the subject which has ever been written."—Lord Snowden. Complete Library Edition, 8s. Abridged Edition, Cloth 2s. 6d., Stiff Covers 1s. 6d.

The Operation of Land-Value Rating in Various Countries.

Being Paper No. 15 presented at the Seventh International
Conference (1949) of the International Union for Land Value
Taxation and Free Trade. 1s.

Land and Freedom. By Frederick Verinder. A comprehensive treatise on the principle and policy of Land Value Taxation. 3s. 6d.

FORM OF BEOUEST

I bequeath, free of duty, to the United Committee for the Taxation of Land Values, Limited, the sum of £.....

home, revealing the stupendous cost and waste of State paternalism in terms of money, individual liberty and enterprise; Mr. Charles Aitken dealt with a selection of the alphabetical monstrosities in the field of international planning, O.E.E.C., N.A.T.O., G.A.T.T., etc., and Mr. Harry Pollard speaking on the "Penalties of Planning" showed the inevitable and ever-widening gap between the planners' promise and their performance, each plan paving the way for, and necessitating, further planning, and leading ultimately to the completely authoritarian state.

"The Challenge Accepted," the afternoon session under the Chairmanship of Mr. C. H. Batty was devoted to an exposition of fundamental principles and an examination of the problems which the planners attempt to solve. Mr. L. J. Hubbard considered the premises of planning, exposing the fallacy of the persuasive neo-Malthusian argument: Man, not the niggardliness of nature was responsible for poverty and hunger; Mr. P. R. Stubbings outlined the principles of ownership: Man's inalienable right to himself and, by extension, to the fruits of his labour subject only to the equal rights of others was denied by chattel slavery, land monopoly, trade restrictions and taxation; and Mr. DAVID K. MILLS, defining the principles of government maintained that the proper function of the Executive was to secure and maintain the equal rights of all its citizens to produce and exchange, to establish laisser faire in its true sense for which a sound currency was an essential condition.

"The Challenge Answered," the evening session, Chairman, MR. W. E. BLAND, gave the Georgeist solution to the age-old problems of poverty, hunger, insecurity and war. With blackboard and easel, MR. V. G. SALDJI illustrated how land rent arises with the growth of the community before outlining briefly some of the economic, ethical, social and political reasons for its diversion into the public Exchequer: MR. ASHLEY MITCHELL delighted his audience with a frank and typically forthright explanation of the fundamental (though generally obscured) reason for protection, calling for the abolition of all restrictions on trading between individuals at home and abroad; and MR. V. H. BLUNDELL made it clear beyond peradventure that the aim of the Henry George movement was to abolish privilege of all kinds, that land value taxation and free trade were not mere fiscal policies to be tacked on the end of political party manifestos, nor an end in themselves, but essential instruments for establishing a just, free and prosperous society in which "profits" compounded of land rent and every kind of government-granted privilege would be abolished ensuring to each who works the full value of his labour, to each who invests the full return on his capital and to the whole community the full value of land which arises from their presence and activity.

A LIBERAL POINTS THE WAY

The following letter from Mr. Gerald Owen, Liberal Candidate for Chertsey in the 1951 General Election appeared in the Surrey Herald, December 12: "The Government is right in repealing the financial provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1947. The development charge is something against which I have argued consistently. Judged superficially, this may lead to the mistaken impression that Liberals and Conservatives share a similarity of outlook. On the contrary, a deeper consideration of the facts shows the distinctive position of Liberals in relation to both the other parties.

"The development charge was intended by the Labour Party to ensure that individual land owners did not reap for themselves land values created by the community. Instead of doing this it penalised those who by their own enterprise sought to make fuller use of land. But the Conservative Government gives no indication that its repeal of this measure will be anything but an "as you were" order to landlords. In contrast, Liberals have ardently asserted the right of the community to land values arising solely from community action, and have opposed the Development Charge because it penalised enterprise and was ineffectual in serving its intended purpose.