Boctrinal Statement

OF

Reverend Doctor Edward McGlynn

PRESENTED BY HIM TO THE

Papal Ablegate Monsignor Francis Satolli

By whose direction it was examined by four Professors of the Catholic University, Washington, D. C. December, 1892

Declared to contain nothing contrary to Catholic Teaching

All men are endowed by the law of nature with the right to life and to the pursuit of happiness, and therefore with the right to exert their energies upon those natural bounties without which labor or life is impossible.

God has granted those natural bounties, that is to say, the earth, to mankind in general, so that no part of it has been assigned to anyone in particular, and so that the limits of private possession have been left to be fixed by man's own industry and the laws of individual peoples.

But it is a necessary part of the liberty and dignity of man that man should own himself, always, of course, with perfect subjection to the moral law. Therefore, beside the common right to natural bounties, there must be by the law of nature private property and dominion in the fruits of industry or in what is produced by labor out of those natural bounties to which the individual may have legitimate access, that is, so far as he does not infringe the equal right of others or the common rights.

It is a chief function of civil government to maintain equally sacred these two natural rights.

It is lawful and it is for the best interests of the individual and of the community and necessary for civilization that there should be a division as to the use and an undisturbed, permanent, exclusive private possession of portions of the natural bounties, or of the land; in fact, such exclusive possession is necessary to the ownership, use and enjoyment by the individual of the fruits and products of his industry.

But the organized community, through civil government, must always maintain the dominion over those natural bounties, as distinct from the products of private industry and from that private possession of the land which is necessary for their enjoyment. The maintenance of this dominion over the natural bounties is a primary function and duty of the organized community, in order to maintain the equal right of all men to labor for their living and for the pursuit of happiness, and therefore their equal right of access directly or indirectly to natural bounties. The assertion of this dominion by civil government is especially necessary, because, with the very beginning of civil government and with the growth of civilization, there comes to the natural bounties, or the land, a peculiar and an increasing value distinct from and irrespective of the products of private industry existing therein. This value is not produced by the industry of the private possessor or proprietor, but is produced by the existence of the community and grows with the growth and civilization of the community. It is, therefore, called the unearned increment. It is this unearned increment that in cities gives to lands without any improvements so great a value. This value represents and measures the advantages and opportunities produced by the community, and men, when not permitted to acquire the absolute dominion over such lands, will willingly pay the value of this unearned increment in the form of rents, just as men, when not permitted to own other men, will willingly pay wages for desired

No sooner does the organized community, or State, arise, than it needs revenues. This need for revenues is small at first while population is sparse, industry rude and the functions of the State few and simple, but with growth of population and advance of civilization the functions of the State increase and larger and larger revenues are needed. God is the author of society, and has pre-ordained civilization. The increasing need for public revenues with social advance being a natural God-

ordained need, there must be a right way of raising themsome way that we can truly say is the way intended by God. It is clear that this right way of raising public revenues must accord with the moral law or the law of justice. It must not conflict with individual rights, it must find its means in common right and common duties. By a beautiful providence, that may be truly called Divine, since it is founded upon the nature of things and the nature of man, of which God is the creator, a fund, constantly increasing with the capacities and needs of society, is produced by the very growth of society itself, namely, the rental value of the natural bounties of which society retains dominion. The justice and the duty of appropriating this fund to public uses is apparent, in that it takes nothing from the private property of individuals, except what they will pay willingly as an equivalent for a value produced by the community, and which they are permitted to enjoy. The fund thus created is clearly by the law of justice a public fund, not merely because the value is a growth that comes to the natural bounties which God gave to the community in the beginning, but also, and much more because it is a value produced by the community itself, so that this rental belongs to the community by that best of titles, namely, producing, making or creating.

To permit any portion of this public property to go into private pockets, without a perfect equivalent being paid into the public treasury, would be an injustice to the community. Therefore, the whole rental fund should be appropriated to common or public uses.

This rental tax will make compulsory the adequate utilization of natural bounties exactly in proportion to the growth of the community and of civilization, and will thus compel the possessors to employ labor, the demand for which will enable the laborer to obtain perfectly just wages. The rental tax fund growing by a natural law proportionately with the growth of civilization will thus be sufficient for public needs and capacities, and therefore all taxes upon industry and upon the products of industry may and should be abolished. While the tax on land values promotes industry, and therefore increases private wealth, taxes upon industry act like a fine or a punishment inflicted upon industry; they impede and restrain and finally strangle it.

In the desired condition of things land would be left in the private possession of individuals, with full liberty on their part to give, sell or bequeath it, while the State would levy on it for public uses a tax that should equal the annual value of the land itself, irrespective of the use made of it or the improvements on it.

The only utility of private ownership and dominion of land, as distinguished from possession, is the evil utility of giving to the owners the power to reap where they have not sown, to take the products of the labor of others without giving them an equivalent—the power to impoverish and practically to reduce to a species of slavery the masses of men, who are compelled to pay to private owners the greater part of what they produce for permission to live and to labor in this world, when they would work upon the natural bounties for their own account, and the power, when men work for wages, to compel them to compete against one another for the opportunity to labor, and to compel them to consent to labor for the lowest possible wages-wages that are by no means the equivalent of the new value created by the work of the laborer, but are barely sufficient to maintain the laborer in a miserable existence, and even the power to deny to the laborer the opportunity to labor at all. This is an injustice against the equal right of all men to life and to the pursuit of happiness, a right based upon the brotherhood of man which is derived from the fatherhood of God. This is the injustice that we would abolish in order to abolish involuntary poverty.

That the appropriation of the rental value of land to public uses in the form of a tax would abolish the injustice which has just been described, and thus abolish involuntary poverty, is clear; since in such case no one would hold lands except for use and the masses of men having free access to unoccupied lands would be able to exert their labor directly upon natural bounties and to enjoy the full fruits and products of their labors, beginning to pay a portion of the fruits of their industry to the public treasury only when, with the growth of the community and the extension to them of the benefits of civilzation, there would come to their lands a rental value distinct from the value of the products of their industry, which value they would willingly pay as the exact equivalent of the new advantages coming to them from the community; and again in such case men would not be compelled to work for employers for wages

less than absolutely just wages, namely, the equivalent of the new value created by their labor; since men surely would not consent to work for unjust wages when they could obtain perfectly just wages by working for themselves; and, finally, since when what belongs to the community shall have been given to the community, the only valuable things that men shall own as private property will be those things that have been produced by private industry, the boundless desires and capacities of civilized human nature for good things will always create a demand for these good things, namely the products of labora demand always greater than the supply, and therefore for the labor that produces these good things there will always be a demand greater than the supply, and the laborer will be able to command perfectly just wages-which are a perfect equivalent in the product of some other person's labor for the new value which his own labor produces.

Letter of Approval from Henry George

Dr. McGlynn read the foregoing as a "A Doctrinal Statement" at the meeting of the Anti-Poverty Society, Cooper Union, Jan. 1 1893. At the meeting of Jan. 15th he again read it as his statement to Mgr. Satolli preceding his restoration and added his letter of 23 Dec., 1892, to the Delegate. Closing his address, he said:

"In the statement presented to Archbishop Satolli, and which I have just now read, there is, as those of you familiar with the doctrine can see for yourselves, no minimizing or departing from the doctrines of the United Labor Party platform or of the Anti-Poverty Society, as I have been teaching the doctrine for years. No man surely could be more sensitive to any such departure and deplore it more bitterly than the man who wrote the platform of the United Labor Party and the preamble to the constitution of the Anti-Poverty Society, namely, my friend, Mr. Henry George, to whom I sent a copy of this exposition of doctrine, and who in his letter of acknowledgment to me said of it: 'It is entirely worthy of yourself, of the occasion and of the great opportunities now before you, opportunities larger than any parish or any diocese could afford, for the great movement which we have both at heart is rapidly passing through the preliminary stages, and your restoration urges it on. That you may have health and strength for the work before you is my earnest prayer."

It is very pertinent to the matter in hand and to questions now uppermost in the public mind to make the following quotation from the same letter of Henry George to me:

"My appreciation of the present Pope, greatly increased by the Encyclical, has been steadily growing, and since the errand of the Ablegate has developed, has reached the highest point. It would previously have seemed incredible that such radical, comprehensive and far-reaching action would have been the work of his surroundings and age. Nothing that I can recall has so surprised and gratified me. For the powers linked against it have seemed too great to be broken down save in long years. It seems indeed as if a greater power had on all sides overruled evil for good."

Dr. McGlynn's Letter to Mgr. Satolli

Monsignor—I am very happy to learn that it has been judged that there is nothing contrary to Catholic doctrine in the doctrine taught by me, as it was explained by me in the exposition of the same which I sent to your Grace and I rejoice that you are prepared to remove the ecclesiastical censures.

I assure you that I have never said, and would never say consciously, a word contrary to the teachings of the Church and of the Apostolic See, to which teachings and notably to those contained in the Encyclical, "Rerum Novarum," I give, and have ever given, a full adhesion.

And if whatsoever word may have ever escaped me which might seem not entirely conformable to those teachings, I would like to recall it or to interpret it in a sense conformable to them.

I have not consciously failed in the respect due to the authority of the Holy See. But if whatsoever word may have ever escaped me not conformable to the respect due to it, I should be the first to regret it and to recall it.

As to the journey to Rome, I will make it within three or four months, if the matter be not otherwise determined by the Holy Father.

I am your Grace's

Very obedient servant,

EDWARD McGLYNN.

December 23, 1892.