The Public

First Year.

CHICAGO, SATURDAY, MARCH 4, 1899.

Number 45

LOUIS F. POST, Editor.

Entered at the Chicago, Ill., Post-office as secondclass matter.

For terms and all other particulars of publication, see last column of last page.

A pietistic convention in Boston adopted a resolution on the 23d which declared that the United States has incurred the displeasure of Jehovah by leaving Christ's name out of the constitution. If that isn't idolatry, where will you find any? We may safely enough leave Christ's name out of the national constitution if we make his principles of brotherhood part of our national life.

The Indian baseball player, Walla Tonka, has received a new lease of life. In accordance with Indian law, he was to have been shot at sunrise on the 27th, upon conviction for murder, but a reprieve arrived just in time. The most impressive fact about this case, more impressive than the dramatic postponement of the execution, is that the culprit has been at large all the time since his conviction, and when the date for his execution arrived he redeemed his promise to the authorities by appearing voluntarily at the execution grounds. Nor is his case in this respect peculiar. All Indians under capital sentence are set at liberty upon promising to appear for execution; and the promise is never broken. Anglo-Saxons boast their superiority to the Indian, but few of them could be trusted to keep such an appointment.

Farmers are quick to complain of the misuse of public money, yet they are as a class quite as ready as any other class to help misuse it. By a two-thirds vote the Illinois Farmers' Institute this week recommended the passage of a bill giving a bounty of one cent a pound on all beet sugar manufactured in the state. That bill is dishonest. It would tax the many to give to a few. And from the point of view of the farmers' interests, it is a bunco. Farmers don't make beet sugar; they raise sugar beets. In order to help them, therefore, the bill ought to put a bounty on beets instead of sugar. But if the pending bill passes, the sugar manufacturers will get the bounty, while beet raisers will have to take what they are offered for beets. And they won't be offered anything extra either.

Upon all occasions when it is desirable to show that whether the rich are getting richer or no, the poor are not getting poorer, savings banks statistics are trotted out, it being generally assumed that large aggregates of savings bank deposits imply prosperity among the poor. This statistical fake has been exposed before. But that makes no difference; it is always thought to be a "good enough Morgan." For everybody cannot be expected to know that savings banks, instead of being places of deposit for the thrifty poor, are mostly conveniences for the rich and well to do. But that is what these banks are; and the Connecticut reports for last year again demonstrate it. The Connecticut savings banks increased their deposits last year by \$7,512,700. But only \$348,618 of this amount, less than 5 per cent., was in deposits of less than \$1,000 each. There were many single deposits in excess of \$2,-000 each, while some exceeded \$20,-000 each, and nearly 300 exceeded \$10,000 each. The truth is that savings banks are largely used by rich people for making their surplus cash draw a revenue while awaiting opportunities for permanent investment. No inferences as to the condition of the working classes can be drawn from savings banks statistics.

The papal letter on the alleged heresies of Father Hecker, founder of the Paulist Fathers, which were discovered, it is said, in the French translation of Father Elliott's life of Hecker, may be intended to condemn the liberal tendencies in the Catholic church in America; but if so, it would require an expert in ecclesiastical Latin to spell out the condemnation. The Corrigans in the church of Rome in America will not find support in this letter from the head of their church in the fight for medievalism which they are making against the Irelands and McGlynns. Some attempt has been made to construe the letter as a withdrawal by the pope from the unexpectedly advanced position he has for some time held on the subject of political liberty. The wish in this respect has probably been father to the thought. The letter does not appear to justify any such interpretation. Its tenor, however, is wonderfully suggestive of the tremendous play of action and reaction between the mighty forces that make respectively for and against liberty, in the whirl of which we of this time are living.

Another advance in wages is to be credited to the remarkable prosperity which this country, as represented by the monopolies and trusts, is enjoying. The advance comes as the culmination of a threatened strike of New England cotton mill operatives. After a few week's conference with their operatives, the employers agreed to increase wages on April 3 by 121 per cent. This will bring back the cotton mill wages of New England to about what they were prior to January 3, 1898—a year after the beginning of McKinley's prosperity-when they were reduced 11 per cent. The restoration of cotton mill wages is the second instance of a wages increase to be announced in these pipingly prosperous times. The other was proclaimed by some of the steel mills eastward of Chicago. In that case, as in the case of the cotton mill operatives, wages had been reduced after the presidential election, before being increased; but whereas the cotton mill operatives are to get their former rates of pay with the first increase, it will take yet another increase by those steel mills to put their workers in that position. Mysterious are the ways of McKinley's prosperity! A third wages increase was announced on the 1st of March. It was to take place in the steel mills of Illinois and Wisconsin. By this increase the wages of the common laborers are to be advanced one whole cent an hour-ten cents a day!

How absurd to assert upon the basis of such facts, that the working people of this country are prosper-It is a bald pretense. If ing. further proof were required, it to be found in the interest "Never in the history of the country," begins a financial report in the Chicago Tribune, "was there so much money to loan as now, and never before were the rates of interest so low." And then the report specifies prevailing interest in the leading cities from New York to San Francisco, varying from 4 per cent. in the former city to 7 in the latter. These are low rates. But so far from proving that the country is prosperous, they go to prove the reverse. When interest is low, and capital—for it is not money, but capital that is offered—is begging for borrowers, the situation can have but one meaning, and that is that it does not pay to borrow capital and put it to use. To say that wages are low and laborers are hunting for work, would be an indication of hard times. To say that interest is low and capital is hunting for borrowers, is indicative of the same thing. Both conditions, in fact, exist. Labor, like capital, is plentiful and hunting for employment; while wages, like interest, are low. And

that is what Mr. McKinley and the parasitic monopolists call prosperity!

Horrible stories are reported from Europe of a recently discovered traffic in human skin. Jewelers who serve the rich leisure classes admit that they have made ladies' belts and cardcases from this material, and tanners say that they have recently prepared quantities of it after the fashion of alligators' and monkeys' skins, while women boast of the possession of articles manufactured from it. One sensational London correspondent cables a report that "nicely tanned human skin recently formed a novel though considerable portion of the trousseau of a fashionable bride." The skin is procured from bodies of the unclaimed poor, which have been turned over to scientific institutions for dissection; and to own articles made of it is a fad. A horrible story, indeed; not so much, however, on account of any injury to the poor which it suggests, as of the degradation of the rich which it implies.

To work up the skin of the dead poor into belts and card cases for the morbid rich, cannot hurt those whose bodies have supplied the material. Neither their nerves nor their emotions are any longer sensitive. What does hurt, is the working up, while they live, of their sinews and blood and sweat into comforts and luxuries for the rich who do nothing in return. We are told that there is great anxiety among the American rich to establish a titled aristocracy in the United States. Not one with empty titles, like the French; but one like the English, with titles that are united to power and wealth. And it is certain that the growing custom among the rich of leaving most of their property to the oldest son, has this ambition for its impulse. The rich are striving to strap themselves tighter to the backs of the living poor; and if, incidentally, they find amusement in owning curios made of the skins of the dead poor, that only goes to show the contempt as well as indifference

classes that support them. But if the living poor are forced to give their lives to the idle rich, what harm can it do them if, after they die, their skins be taken also?

It is remarkable, the increasing resemblance between the tory party of England and the tory faction of the republican party of the United States. Not only is each at work with fire and sword conquering the dark peoples of the world "for their own good," but even in the matter of making national deficits each is running a race with the other. With a war revenue law, in addition to nearly enough proceeds from war bonds to pay for the war, the United States is nevertheless spending more than its income, and will soon have a magnificent deficit of a hundred millions or so in dollars. This deficit is to be modestly rivaled by the English tories. It is now considered as tolerably certain that there will be a deficit in the English accounts for the year of a million and a half in pounds. Small though that is, by comparison, yet the English tories may take heart of hope. If McKinley with increased revenues can run his government behind a hundred million dollars in two years, Salisbury may yet largely lessen the difference between that and only seven millions.

As to the proposed methods of making up their deficits the English and the American tories are again congenial spirits. In England as in the United States, the consumption of the poor, not the accumulations of the rich, is to be made to shoulder this "white man's burden." thunderer, the London Times, tory through and through, looks to a tax on grain and sugar. And of such are the taxes which our own tories impose. Taxation of the masses by the classes and for the classes is the principle of government upon which American McKinleyism and English toryism meet as upon common ground.

contempt as well as indifference No one has yet been able to interwhich they cultivate toward the pret the mystery of Dewey's urgent

